

# Tangent Spaces of Differentiable Manifolds

$M$   $C^\infty$  differentiable manifold,  $p \in M$ , consider set of all  $C^1$  curves  $\gamma$  such that  $\gamma: (a, b) \rightarrow M$   $0 \in (a, b)$ , define equivalence relation  $\gamma_1 \sim \gamma_2$   
 $\Leftrightarrow \gamma_1$ 's Taylor expansion in one and hence any coordinate system around  $p$  up to but not including 2nd order terms = same thing for  $\gamma_2$ .

Detail:  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  coord. sys defined in a nbhd of  $p$ ,  $p \leftrightarrow (x_1^0, \dots, x_n^0)$  then

$$\gamma(t) = (x_1^0, \dots, x_n^0) + t \left( \frac{dx_1(\gamma(t))}{dt}, \dots, \frac{dx_n(\gamma(t))}{dt} \right) + \text{higher than 1st order.}$$

So T. expansion up to but not including 2nd order involves only  $p$  and  $\frac{dx_1(\gamma(t))}{dt}, \dots, \frac{dx_n(\gamma(t))}{dt}$ .

But in another coordinate system

$(\hat{x}_1, \dots, \hat{x}_n)$  which has  $\hat{x}_i = \hat{x}_i(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  (notation)  
we have  $\frac{d}{dt} \hat{x}_j(\gamma(t)) = \sum \frac{\partial \hat{x}_j}{\partial x_i} \cdot \frac{dx_i(\gamma(t))}{dt}$

by Chain Rule so knowing first order part in  $x$  coordinates determines first order part in  $\hat{x}$  coordinates.

Definition: Tangent space at  $p$ , notation  $T_p M$  (sometimes  $M_p$ ) = (set of all such  $\gamma$ ) / ~ equivalence relation

Additive structure: Choose coordinates around  $p$  with  $p \leftrightarrow (0, \dots, 0)$ . Define  $[\gamma_1] + [\gamma_2] = [\gamma_1 + \gamma_2]$  where this  $+$  denotes vector addition in coordinates.

Check: Same as adding first order parts of Taylor expansion, hence (since transformation from one coordinate system to another is linear) independent of coordinate choice.

Similarly for  $\alpha[\gamma]$ .

Tangent space is vector space.

Given  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  coordinates define

$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}|_p$  = tangent vector of  $\gamma(t) = (x_1^0, \dots, x_i^0 + t, \dots, x_n^0)$

Then

$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}|_p, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}|_p$  is a vector space basis for  $T_p M$ . (Exercise) Use (for independence)

Operation on funcs:  $[\gamma] f = \left. \frac{d}{dt} f(\gamma(t)) \right|_{t=0}$ ,  $\gamma(0)=p$

Transformation: So  $[\gamma] x_i = a_i$  component of 1st order Taylor expansion

$(\sum a_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}) x_i = a_i$  so linear comb = 0  $\Rightarrow$  coefficients = 0.

Transformation Rule (important)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$$

Proof: Works on functions by chain rule.

Follows, both sides have same components

Example: Polar coord  $x = r \cos \theta$   $y = r \sin \theta$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r} = \cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} = -r \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + r \cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$$

(visualize and interpret geometrically!)

$$\text{Exercise(1)} \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x} = ? \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + ? \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$$

$$\text{Same for } \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} =$$

Interpret  
geometrically again

(2) Put second set of formulas back into  
first set to verify.

Lie bracket and vector fields:

Vector field = function  $V$  from  $M$  into  $\bigcup_{p \in M} T_p M$   
such that  $V(p) \in T_p M$ . ( $p$  varies)

In local coordinates  $r$

$$V(p) = \sum_{j=1}^n f_j(p) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}|_p$$

So have idea of vector field being continuous  
or  $C^\infty$  or  $C^k$  & finite.

Note that  $\bigcup_{p \in M} T_p M$  is itself a manifold

in a natural way:  $\bigcup_{p \in \text{domain of } (x_1, \dots, x_n)} T_p M$

has coordinates  $(x_1, \dots, x_n, \underbrace{a_1, \dots, a_n}_{\text{in}})$

for  $p$  for  $v \in T_p M$

$$v = \sum a_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$$

$M \subset C^\infty \Rightarrow$  chart overlap  $C^\infty$  (linear  
transformation on  $a_i$ 's, coefficients  
depending on  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ )

Exercise(1) Write the transformation out explicitly.

(2)  $a_1(x, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + a_2(x, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$  on  $\#$  open set in  $\mathbb{R}^2$   
question is what in  $\frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$  terms?

Lie bracket: If  $X, Y$  are vector fields defined in a nbhd of  $p \in M$  then  
 $\exists$  a unique vector  $v \in T_p M$  such that  
for all  $C^\infty$  functions  $F : \text{nbhd of } p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$vF = X(YF)|_p - Y(XF)|_p.$$

Proof: Write  $X = \sum a_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ ,  $Y = \sum b_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$

$(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  coordinates around  $p$ ,  $a, b$ ,  $C^\infty$  functions  
then

$$X(YF) = \sum_{i,j} a_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (b_j \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_j})$$

$$= \sum a_i b_j \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sum a_i \frac{\partial b_j}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_j}$$

$$Y(XF) = \sum a_j b_i \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sum b_j \frac{\partial a_i}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}$$

So (interchanging  $i, j$  in this sum and  $\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$  sums up)

$$X(YF) - Y(XF) = \sum_i \left( a_i \frac{\partial b_j}{\partial x_i} - b_i \frac{\partial a_j}{\partial x_i} \right) \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_j}$$

So

$$v = \sum_j \left( \left( a_i \frac{\partial b_j}{\partial x_i} - b_i \frac{\partial a_j}{\partial x_i} \right) \Big|_p \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}|_p$$

works  $\square$  (Uniqueness is clear: use  $F = x_j$ )

Note: Existence of  $v$  used coordinates but defining formula  $vF = X(YF)$  has no coords so  $v$  is ind of coord choice

Definition:  $X, Y$  vector fields, then

$[X, Y] =$  vector field with value at  $p = v$   
constructed as just done.

Lemma:  $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}^\infty \Rightarrow [X, Y] \in \mathcal{C}^\infty$

Prof.: Look at formula in coordinates.

$[X, Y]$  is additively linear and constant linear  
in each slot.

Function behavior:  $[fX, Y] = f[X, Y] - (Yf)X$

$$[X, gY] = g[X, Y] + (Xg)Y.$$

Check:  $[fX, Y] F = (fX)(YF) - Y(fX)F$

$$\begin{aligned} &= f(X(YF)) - (fX)YF - (Yf)(XF) \\ &= f[X, Y] - (Yf)X. \end{aligned}$$

These formulas enable one to figure out  
Lie brackets easily (usually), e.g. on  $\mathbb{R}^2$

$$\left[ f \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, g \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right] = 0 - \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ \end{array} \right\} \text{since } \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right] = 0,$$

$$\left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, g \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right] = 0 + \frac{\partial g}{\partial x} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$$

Later:  $V_1, \dots, V_n$  linearly ind at each pt  
with all Lie brackets  $\equiv 0$  on nbhd  $\Rightarrow$   
on possibly smaller nbhd  $\exists x_1, \dots, x_n \ni V_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$  all i in  
coordinates